In my view, a broad range of news reporting sources gives me some degree of confidence that I am learning the different views on issues of the day. For example, I search the international news sources, on the internet, to learn about events we are not made aware of from our large media sources. Often, I learn of events that our gvernment has not prominently communicated to

\*\*\*The best example I can think of is this issue. The mere fact that our government is deciding for us, that we don't need as many sources of information is not even mentioned on the mass media newscasts. I am aware of this issue because I watch the public broadcasting news channel.

One of the commissioners described the difficulty he is having getting public attention to the issue and his inability to have the period of comment extended so that adequate attentin is given to this incredibly important issue!!! (emphasis added!!!!) !!!

I am not an extremist. I am an accountant. I am not a radical thinker. I am quite the pacifist.

BUT FOR ME, FREEDOM HAS A GREAT DEAL TO DO WITH KNOWING ALL SIDES OF THE ISSUES, IN ORDER TO MAKE INTELLENT DECISIONS!

In my younger days, the news people were acclaimed when they uncovered the big hot story. Us citizens admired the folks that uncovered what others didn't want us to know about.

Carl Bernstein and Bob Woodward revealed information about our President that I (and I believe quite a few others) appreciate.

I have the sense that our pro-commercial government is more concerned about the economy (and the profits of our country) than they are about our freedoms and the public's involvement with what we are doing to our country and our world.

THERE IS NO REASON WHY WE SHOULD CHANGE THE LAW AND ALLOW FURTHER CONCENTRATION OF MEDIA OWNERSHIP. NO REASON!!!!!!!!11

Please do not change the rules or the laws.

Sincerely,

Dale W. Gutman